PANEL DISCUSSION ON MONETARY POLICY AND PRODUCTIVITY: IMPLICATIONS FOR MONETARY AND FISCAL POLICY

Michaela Elfsbacka-Schmöller^{1, 2}

¹European Central Bank

²Bank of Finland

OeNB/ SUERF Annual Economic Conference: Monetary Policy and Structural Tectonic Shifts

22 May, 2025

The opinions expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the European Central, Bank of Finland,

or the Eurosystem.

Beyond the Short Run: Monetary Policy and Innovation Investment (Elfsbacka-Schmöller et al. (2024))

Systematic euro area monetary tightening:

- Pronounced investment reduction in short-term (average: -20%); exit from innovation activity.
- Persistent, medium-run innovation cuts.

	Reduce	No Change	Expand	Total
% of firms	33%	58%	9%	100%
Average change	-67%	0	+23%	-20%
Ν				2593

Exogenous monetary policy shifts

- Innovation investment responds to **both rate hikes and cuts**.
- Potential state-dependent asymmetry.

Longer-term, supply-side effects of forward guidance: strong innovation response to communication.

Beyond the Short Run: Monetary Policy and Innovation Investment (Elfsbacka-Schmöller et al. (2024))

Systematic euro area monetary tightening:

- Pronounced investment reduction in short-term (average: -20%); exit from innovation activity.
- Persistent, medium-run innovation cuts.

	Reduce	No Change	Expand	Total
% of firms	33%	58%	9%	100%
Average change	-67%	0	+23%	-20%
Ν				2593

Exogenous monetary policy shifts

- Innovation investment responds to **both rate hikes and cuts**.
- Potential state-dependent asymmetry.

Longer-term, supply-side effects of forward guidance: strong innovation response to communication.

- 1. Monetary policy transmission through technology growth and long-run supply.
- 2. Long-run non-neutrality of monetary policy.
- 3. Policy-endogeneity of R^* .

Strong Link Between Monetary/ Fiscal Policy and Innovation

Monetary policy \Rightarrow innovation

- Long-run effects of monetary policy (Jordà et al. (2024)).
- Monetary policy ⇒ R&D, TFP (Moran and Queralto (2018), Ma and Zimmermann (2023), Elfsbacka-Schmöller et al. (2024b)).

Fiscal policy \Rightarrow innovation

- Demand shocks ⇒ firms' innovation, technology growth (Ilzetzki, 2024; Elfsbacka-Schmöller et al. (2024a)).
- Persistent effects of fiscal policy shifts via R&D investment expansions (Antolin-Diaz and Surico (2024), Fieldhouse and Mertens (2024), Cloyne et al. (2022)). (2024b)).

But: existing wisdom and policy implications are derived from frameworks which ignore the link between monetary/ fiscal policy and growth.

Rethinking Price-Debt Stabilization (Elfsbacka-Schmöller and McClung (2024))

- Current high debt/ high government spending environment, recent high inflation episode
- Wide debate on joint stabilization of prices and public debt:
 - **Key limitation:** Models assume exogenous/no innovation and technology growth
 - Focus only on debt/GDP numerator, ignoring empirical demand-growth linkages
- Standard implications under exogenous growth:
 - 1. Strong "fiscal" inflation as the necessary consequence of unfunded fiscal deficits ("active" fiscal policy)
 - 2. Debt-stabilization through inflation only if central bank lets real rates fall (Taylor principle violated)
 - 3. Taylor principle needed under debt-stabilizing ("passive") fiscal policy

Rethinking Price-Debt Stabilization (Elfsbacka-Schmöller and McClung (2024))

- Current high debt/ high government spending environment, recent high inflation episode
- Wide debate on joint stabilization of prices and public debt:
 - **Key limitation:** Models assume exogenous/no innovation and technology growth
 - Focus only on debt/GDP numerator, ignoring empirical demand-growth linkages
- Standard implications under exogenous growth:
 - 1. Strong "fiscal" inflation as the necessary consequence of unfunded fiscal deficits ("active" fiscal policy)
 - 2. Debt-stabilization through inflation only if central bank lets real rates fall (Taylor principle violated)
 - 3. Taylor principle needed under debt-stabilizing ("passive") fiscal policy

What we do: link technological innovation, long-run growth, and monetary-fiscal policy coordination

PUBLIC DEBT, INFLATION, AND INNOVATION



Technology-led growth stabilizes public debt

- Fiscal capacity becomes endogenous: demand $\uparrow \rightarrow$ R&D $\uparrow \rightarrow$ growth \uparrow
- Innovation-driven output expansion helps financing the fiscal deficit.
- Growth-financing of public debt reduces the extent of fiscal inflation.

IMPLICATIONS OF SUPPLY-DRIVEN DEBT STABILIZATION

Technology-led growth important contributor to debt stabilization:



- Technology-led growth expands monetary and fiscal policy space
- Policy-endogenous R*: monetary/ fiscal policy → R&D → growth
- Growth-augmented Taylor principle: central banks should account for the adjustment of the real interest rate (r) relative to the growth rate (g)
- Crucial: R&D-led growth does not imply a fiscal free lunch (r > g; risk of deficit-driven inflation surges)

CONCLUDING REMARKS

- Monetary policy affects innovation and technology growth (systematic monetary policy, monetary policy shocks, forward guidance).
- Conventional wisdom on monetary-fiscal interactions omits a potentially important channel: policy transmission via R&D and long-run aggregate supply.
- Unfunded fiscal deficits may not only stimulate demand but can also raise innovation-driven productivity growth and trend output
 - Partially self-financing deficits through the supply side and mitigating inflationary pressures.
 - Due to the expansion in fiscal capacity, monetary policy can respond more aggressively to inflation than previously suggested.