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Motivation: The Corporate Sector Purchase Programme

- Eurozone IG-rated non-financial corporate bonds are eligible
- Rationale: Overcome credit supply frictions by providing credit directly to the real sector
- Holdings amount to €350bn at peek in 2022
  \[\Rightarrow 31\% \text{ of the eligible universe}\]
  \[\Rightarrow 7\% \text{ of Eurozone bank lending to non-financial corp.}\]
Credit saturated economies

- What if the banking sector frictions these programs are supposed to address do not exist?
- Why important?
  ➞ Heterogeneity within currency area
  ➞ Unwinding of CSPP
- Our setting: Germany, 2012-2019
  ➞ Pre-CSPP (i.e. in 2015), only 5% of German firms considered access to finance a problem
  ➞ Unemployment rate low
- Method: Difference-in-difference, including Khwaja-Mian and Amiti-Weinstein estimators to isolate supply
Motivation: Germany as Credit-Saturated Market

% of Firms Saying that Their Most Pressing Problem is Access to Finance

Source: ECB SAFE survey
Lending share of eligibles is entirely shifted to real estate
Within real estate sector funds go to RE asset managers
Discussion: Why Real Estate Asset Managers?

▶ Supply based argument: Attractive for banks to lend to due to high collateralization, i.e. low risk weight:

▶ Demand based argument: Real Estate asset managers can scale up their business easily (as opposed to e.g. project developers who depend on the construction industry)

▶ Other industries (i.e. construction industry) in Germany were operating at full capacity already prior to the CSPP
Approximately 1/5 of price increases explained by CSPP over our sample period (until 2019)

High elasticity of housing prices to credit supply (Credit supply ↑ 1% ⇒ Price ↑ 0.67% )
Results: Why Care?

- Same issues in multiple credit-saturated Eurozone economies:

23 September 2019

The European Systemic Risk Board (ESRB) has today published a set of country-specific warnings and recommendations on medium-term vulnerabilities in the residential real estate sector. The ESRB has a mandate to issue warnings when significant systemic risks are identified and to provide recommendations for remedial action to address such risks. The warnings were sent to the competent ministers of the following five countries: the Czech Republic, Germany, France, Iceland and Norway\(^1\). Similarly, the recommendations were sent to the competent ministers of the following six countries: Belgium, Denmark, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Finland and Sweden\(^2\).

- ECB very concerned about real estate prices (e.g. TLTRO series did not allow for lending to real estate sector)
Banking Sector Stability Impaired

- Riskiness of banks’ loan portfolios increases
  (because ECB buys IG-rated bonds)
- Bank profitability decreases
  (increase in competition for remaining business)
- Reliance on real estate collateral increases
- Not (fully) cushioned by regulatory actions
Conclusion

Unintended side effects of ECB’s Corporate Sector Purchase Program (CSPP) in credit-saturated economies

(1) Reallocation of lending entirely to real estate sector

(2) Fuels overvaluation

$\Rightarrow$ high elasticities in saturated economies

(3) Impairs financial stability

Particular relevant in heterogeneous currency areas and when unwinding QE programs