

Monetary policy effects when interest rates are negative



By Joost Bats, Massimo Giuliodori, and Aerdt Houben^{*}

JEL codes: E43, E44, E52, G12, G21. Keywords: Monetary policy, bank stock prices, negative interest rates.

Negative interest rates matter for bank performance. When interest rates turn negative, banks suffer. This is because retail deposit rates are stuck at zero. Consistent with the deposits channel of monetary policy, banks that are relatively dependent on deposit funding are hurt more, and more persistently, by negative rates. The design of monetary policy can take this into account. Central bank instruments that target the longer-end of the yield curve are less detrimental to bank performance than those that target the shorter-end in times of negative interest rates. Therefore, quantitative easing and yield curve control deserve special consideration when interest rates are negative and further monetary accommodation is required.

* Joost Bats and Aerdt Houben, De Nederlandsche Bank and University of Amsterdam.

Massimo Giuliodori, University of Amsterdam.

Introduction

Over the past 40 years, interest rates have steadily declined worldwide, recently turning negative in Europe and Japan (Figure 1). In Europe and Japan, interest rates are expected to remain negative and yield curves flat for long. A prolonged period of negative interest rates has adverse implications for the performance of banks, as retail deposit rates are sticky at zero. In a negative interest rate environment, additional rate cuts may reduce bank profits, particularly if these endure. This can lead to financial instability (Porcellacchia, 2020) and `reverse' accommodative monetary policy by reducing the lending capacity of capital-constrained banks (e.g. Borio and Gambacorta, 2017, Brunnermeier and Koby, 2018, Gomez et al., 2020).





Data source: Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

The question arises which central bank instruments can best be applied when interest rates are negative but further monetary stimulus is needed. We address this question by analyzing bank performance following different monetary policy actions (Bats et al., 2020). We find that instruments that target the longer-end of the yield curve are least detrimental to bank performance in times of negative interest rates. This policy note summarizes our findings and is structured as follows. First, we illustrate how negative interest rates could affect bank profits. Second, we translate bank profitability into a forward-looking measure of bank performance. Third, we analyze the varying effects of monetary policy instruments on our measure of bank performance. Fourth, we discuss our findings and show they are consistent with the deposits channel of monetary policy. Finally, we provide monetary policy considerations.

Banks' deposit margin

How do negative interest rates affect bank profitability? To understand this, the development of bank profits can be analyzed on the basis of banks' net interest margins. In practice, banks replicate portfolios of fixed-rate assets that match the estimated duration of their deposit liabilities (Kalkbrener and Willing, 2004) using interest rate swaps (Jarrow and van Deventer, 1998). Deposits have a positive duration, because despite customers' ability to clear their sight deposit balances at any point in time, they are a stable funding source for banks.¹ The difference between the swap and deposit rate is the deposit margin (Figure 2, bracket C). While banks may supply loans with an average duration longer than their replicating portfolio (Figure 2, bracket B), the remaining interest rate exposure is generally hedged (Drechsler et al., 2018, Hoffmann et al., 2019). In addition, banks earn profits through lending: the lending margin is defined as the difference between the rate on lending and swap contracts with an equivalent average duration (Figure 2, bracket A).





Once the interest rate environment turns negative, the income banks earn through retail deposits disappears. This is because retail deposit rates are bound at zero. Indeed, when the yield curve shifts downward in a negative interest rate environment, the deposit margin shrinks and eventually turns negative (Figure 3). By contrast, negative rates do not reduce the profits banks make through lending, because the lending margin mainly represents a credit risk premium and is not constrained by a lower bound. In practice, the lending margin may even temporarily increase thanks to a delayed decline in interest income on account of banks' fixed rate assets having a longer duration (i.e. accruing capital gains).

¹ Hoffmann et al. (2019) estimate the average duration of retail sight deposits at 2 years.





A measure of bank performance

On the basis of reported data on bank profitability and the net interest margin, a low and negative interest rate environment has been shown to have adverse effects on bank performance (e.g. Claessens et al., 2018, Heider et al., 2019). But the use of reported data implies these studies are backward-looking and only respond to a drop in the interest rate with a lag due to banks' capital gains on fixed rate assets. In terms of the sustainability of bank profits, backward-looking indicators may in fact be biased, as banks sometimes respond to negative interest rates by temporarily increasing their lending volumes (e.g. Demiralp et al., 2019, Tan, 2019). A prolonged period of negative interest rates may eventually require banks to increase lending margins, which will reduce their lending volumes, market share and profits.

To address these caveats, we explore the impact of negative interest rates on bank performance using a forwardlooking indicator: bank stock prices. These reflect the market valuation of banks' equity based on the expected future discounted cash flows at any given time. We investigate whether monetary policy surprises impact bank stock prices differently in times of positive and negative interest rates. As bank stock prices may anticipate changes to the yield curve in the future, unanticipated changes (i.e. surprises) in interest rates and bank stock prices are identified with high-frequency data around 269 ECB monetary policy announcements from January 1999 to January 2020.²

² The data stem from the Euro Area Monetary Policy Event-Study Database by Altavilla et al. (2019).

The varying effects of monetary policy

Assessing the impact of monetary policy on bank stock prices has the advantage of focusing on a forward-looking measure of bank performance. Given the introduction of unconventional monetary policy instruments, it is important to make a distinction between the different instruments central banks use to intervene on different segments of the yield curve (Table 1). Shorter-term yields, with maturities up to 5 years, are mostly affected by changing the central bank policy rate, providing forward guidance on a central bank's future monetary policy intentions, offering central bank longer-term refinancing operations and introducing a negative interest rate policy. By contrast, longer-term yields, with maturities above 5 years, are mostly affected by quantitative easing and yield curve control policies that aim at controlling the longer-end of the yield curve.³

Monetary policy measuresEffect on yields with maturitiesPolicy rate change in positive rate environmentUp to 1 to 2 yearsForward guidanceBetween 2 and 5 yearsLonger-term refinancing operationsUp to 5 yearsNegative interest rate policyUp to 5 yearsQuantitative easing5 years and longerYield curve control of the longer-endOf around 10 years

Table 1: Monetary policy instruments and their effects on the yield curve

Bank performance may react differently to changes to the shorter- versus the longer-end of the yield curve, because deposit margins are relatively unaffected by changes to longer-term interest rates. To illustrate this, Figure 4 depicts the stylized impact on banks' deposit margin of a flattening of the entire yield curve versus a flattening of only the longer-end of the yield curve. In a negative interest rate environment, a flattening of the entire yield curve can turn the deposit margin more negative, as banks match the duration of deposits with replicating portfolios (Figure 4, Panel A). By contrast, the deposit margin may be less affected if only the longer-end of the yield curve flattens (Figure 4, Panel B).

³ While short-term interest rates have not been driven far in negative territory, the Bank of Japan has effectively steered the 10-year yield to flatten the longer-end of the curve. The Australian Reserve Bank has also undertaken YCC, albeit their intention was to reinforce the central bank's forward guidance by targeting shorter-term interest rates.





To investigate whether bank performance reacts differently to changes to the shorter- versus the longer-end of the yield curve, we estimate the effects of the following monetary surprises (in basis points) to the risk-free yield curve:

- 1. a parallel downward shift (level surprise);
- 2. a flattening of the 1-month to 5-year slope (shorter-end slope surprise);
- 3. a flattening of the 5-year to 10-year slope (longer-end slope surprise).

The dependent variables are monetary surprises (in percentage points) to a European bank stock index.

We employ rolling regressions to provide insight into how the impact of monetary policy on bank stock prices accrues over time as interest rates turn more negative. The regressions control for broad stock market movements to identify the specific disadvantage banks face in times of negative interest rates. The broad stock market is assumed to also react to unexpected interest rate changes (e.g. affecting capital value, future discount rates and/or equity premiums, economic activity) and their resulting macroeconomic signaling effects, while being insensitive to the specific additional negative effect of interest rate declines, which banks specifically face in times of negative interest rates.

Our baseline results show that a low and especially negative interest rate environment hurts bank stock prices. Controlling for the broad stock market, a negative 10 basis points surprise to either the level or just the shorterend slope of the yield curve reduces bank stock prices by more than 2 percentage points once the interest rate environment is negative (Figure 5, Panels A and B). We find that these effects persist in the days after the monetary policy announcement. By contrast, a surprise to only the longer-end slope of the yield curve does not impact bank stock prices when interest rates are negative (Figure 5, Panel C).





Source: Bats et al. (2020)

Note: This figure shows rolling effects on European bank stocks of yield curve surprises following ECB monetary policy announcements. The estimations are run over fixed windows of 48 observations, such that the last window covers the maximum period from the introduction of the ECB's negative interest rate policy in June 2014 until the most recent available date in the sample. As monetary Eurosystem meetings occur less frequently over time, the fixed window period widens. The dependent variable measures intraday movements in the log of the bank stock index (SX7E). Panel A shows the rolling effect of a level surprise to the yield curve. Panel B shows the rolling effect of a surprise to the difference between the 5-year and 1-month rate. Panel C shows the rolling effect of a surprise to the difference between the 10- and 5-year rate. Intraday movements in the log of the broad stock market index (STOXX50) and (T)LTRO announcements are controlled for. The dotted lines represent the 90% confidence interval using Newey-West standard errors robust to heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation up to the third lag.

The deposits channel of monetary policy

Our results show that banks face a disadvantage in comparison with other companies in times of negative interest rates. To evaluate whether this reflects the deposits channel of monetary policy, we analyze daily stock prices of individual banks and account for their relative dependence on customer deposit funding (Table 2).⁴ Monetary policy surprises are expected to have larger effects on stock prices of banks that are relatively dependent on deposit funding in times of negative interest rates. This is because the performance of these banks is likely to be more affected by changes to the deposit margin than the performance of banks with a relatively small share of deposit funding.

	Banks	Country	Customer deposit ratio
1	ABN AMRO	NL	0.60
2	Banco BPM	IT	0.55
3	Bank of Ireland	IR	0.62
4	Bankinter	ES	0.61
5	BAWAG Group	AT	0.66
6	BBVA	ES	0.54
7	BNP Paribas	FR	0.37
8	Caixabank	ES	0.54
9	Commerzbank	DE	0.51
10	Crédit Agricole	FR	0.34
11	Deutsche Bank	DE	0.32
12	Erste Bank	AT	0.67
13	Finecobank	IT	0.89
14	ING Group	NL	0.63
15	Intesa	IT	0.39
16	KBC Group	BE	0.54
17	Mediobanca	IT	0.27
18	Natixis	FR	0.11
19	Raiffeisen Bank	AT	0.61
20	Sabadell	ES	0.63
21	Santander	ES	0.50
22	Soc. Générale	FR	0.30
23	UBI	IT	0.51
24	UniCredit	IT	0.53

Table 2: SX7E banks and their average share of deposit and capital funding

Note: This table presents the average ratios of customer deposits to assets, and total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of 24 European banks between 2014 and 2019. Together, these banks make up the European capitalization-weighted bank stock index (SX7E) during the last years of the sample period (the index is reviewed periodically). The deposit data include current accounts, demand deposits and time deposits from households and non-bank corporations. Interbank deposits are excluded. Data source: annual reports published by each individual bank.

⁴ The data on customer deposits include deposits from non-bank corporates. A caveat is that rates on deposits from non-bank corporates have to a small extent dropped below zero during the sample period, thus potentially preventing deposit margins turning negative in some occasions. While individual balance sheet data exist on the retail deposits of individual bank subsidiaries (e.g. the IBSI database by the ECB), these databases do not include data on all bank subsidiaries of the banking groups in the sample. Using this incomplete data would therefore generate identification issues; data on bank stock prices relate to each individual banking group on aggregate (i.e. including all subsidiaries). Given these complications, this paper uses customer deposits from households and nonbank corporations, recognizing this is an approximation of a bank's relative dependence on retail deposits. The results confirm that once the interest rate environment is negative, the effects on stock prices of a level and shorter-end slope surprise are larger for banks that are relatively dependent on deposit funding. In times of negative interest rates, a 10 basis points parallel shift in the yield curve and a flattening of the shorter-end of the yield curve reduce stock prices of deposit-dependent banks by around 2 percentage points (Figure 6, Panels A and B). Stock prices of banks relatively independent on deposit funding only drop by around half this amount. We also find that the effects are more persistent for banks that are relatively dependent on deposit funding. By contrast, the relative capitalization of banks is not found to influence the results. This suggests that in terms of bank performance, the deposits channel dominates the more traditional capital channel of monetary policy in times of negative interest rates. Further, longer-end slope surprises are not found to have different effects on the two types of banks' stock prices in a negative interest rate environment (Figure 6, Panel C).





Source: Bats et al. (2020)

Note: This figure shows rolling effects of yield curve surprises following ECB monetary policy announcements on stock prices of banks that are relatively dependent and independent on deposit funding. The estimations are run over fixed windows of 1461 observations, such that the last window covers the maximum period from the introduction of the ECB's negative interest rate policy in June 2014 until the most recent available date in the sample. The dependent variable measures end-of-day movements in the log of individual bank stocks. End-of-day movements in the log of the broad stock market index (STOXX50) and (T)LTRO announcements are controlled for. The dotted lines represent the 90% confidence interval using robust standard errors clustered at the bank-level. See also the notes to Figure 5.

Monetary policy considerations

Should banks worry about negative interest rates? Most likely. A prolonged period of negative interest rates may be expected to hurt bank performance. In such an environment, banks make losses on retail deposit funding because the rates on these deposits are stuck at zero. This is consistent with the deposits channel of monetary policy. What reinforces this conclusion is that we find that banks that are relatively dependent on deposit funding suffer more, and more persistently, once interest rates turn negative. Indeed, negative rates have the largest impact on the performance of banks that are relatively dependent on deposit funding. This has implications for the real economy. When banks perform poorly, financial instability risks rise. Moreover, capital-constrained banks may reduce lending, which hampers the transmission of monetary policy stimulus.

But there is good news. The design of monetary policy can take into account bank performance when interest rates are negative. We show that distortions stemming from deposit rates bound at zero are lower when targeting the longer- rather than the shorter-end of the yield curve. Since retail deposits have a relatively short duration, deposit margins are not much affected by changing the longer-end of the yield curve. From this perspective, quantitative easing and yield curve control policies deserve special consideration when interest rates are negative and further monetary accommodation is called for.

References

Altavilla, Carlo, Luca Brugnolini, Refet S. Gürkaynak, Roberto Motto and Giuseppe Ragusa, 2019. Measuring euro area monetary policy. Journal of Monetary Economics 108, 162-179.

Bats, Joost, Massimo Giuliodori and Aerdt Houben, 2020. Monetary policy effects in times of negative interest rates: What do bank stock prices tell us? DNB working paper 694, De Nederlandsche Bank.

Borio, Claudio and Leonardo Gambacorta, 2017. Monetary policy and bank lending in a low interest rate environment: Diminishing effectiveness? Journal of Macroeconomics 54(B), 217-231.

Brei, Michael, Claudio Borio and Leonardo Gambacorta, 2019. Bank intermediation activity in a low interest rate environment. BIS Working Papers 807, Bank for International Settlements.

Brunnermeier, Markus K. and Yann Koby, 2018. The reversal interest rate, NBER working paper 25406. National Bureau of Economic Research.

Claessens, Stijn, Nicholas Coleman and Michael Donnelly, 2018. "Low-for-long" interest rates and banks' interest margins and profitability: Cross-country evidence. Journal of Financial Intermediation 35(A), 1-16.

Demiralp, Selva, Jens Eisenschmidt and Thomas Vlassopoulos, 2019. Negative interest rates, excess liquidity and retail deposits: banks' reaction to unconventional monetary policy in the euro area. ECB working paper 2283, European Central Bank.

Drechsler, Itamar, Alexi Savov and Philipp Schnabl, 2018. Banking on deposits: Maturity transformation without interest rate risk. NBER working paper 24582, National Bureau of Economic Research.

Gomez, Matthieu, Augustin Landier, David Sraer and David Thesmar, 2020. Banks' exposure to interest rate risk and the transmission of monetary policy. Journal of Monetary Economics, in press.

continued

Heider, Florian, Farzad Saidi and Glenn Schepens, 2019. Life below zero: Bank lending under negative policy rates. Review of Financial Studies 32(10), 3728-3761.

Hoffmann, Peter, Sam Langfield, Federico Pierobon and Guillaume Vuillemey, 2019. Who bears interest rate risk? The Review of Financial Studies 32(8), 2921-2954.

Jarrow, Robert A. and Donald R. van Deventer, 1998. The arbitrage-free valuation and hedging of demand deposits and credit card loans. Journal of Banking and Finance 22, 249-272.

Kalkbrener, Michael and Jan Willing, 2004. Risk management of non-maturing liabilities. Journal of Banking and Finance 28, 1547-1568.

Porcellacchia, Davide, 2020. What is the tipping point? Low rates and financial stability. ECB working paper 2447, European Central Bank.

Tan, Garyn, 2019. Beyond the zero lower bound: Negative policy rates and bank lending. DNB working paper 649, De Nederlandsche Bank.

About the authors

Joost Bats is an economist at the Dutch Central Bank and a PhD researcher at the University of Amsterdam. He is specialized in monetary economics and financial structure.

Massimo Giuliodori is a Professor of Empirical Macroeconomics and Director of the Graduate School of Economics at the University of Amsterdam. He holds a Ph.D. from the University of Glasgow. He is a Research Fellow of the Tinbergen Institute. His papers have been published in such journals as the Journal of Economic Literature, American Economic Journal: Economic Policy, The Economic Journal, Journal of the European Economic Association, Economic Policy, European Economic Review, Journal of Financial Intermediation, Journal of Money Credit and Banking, Journal of Comparative Economics and Oxford Economic Papers.

Aerdt Houben is Director Financial Markets at De Nederlandsche Bank and Professor of Financial Policies, Institutions and Markets at the University of Amsterdam. He chairs the Committee on Financial Markets at the OECD and is a member of the Committee on the Global Financial System and the Markets Committee at the BIS. He has worked at the IMF. He has been a member of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, the Financial Stability Committee of the ECB and the International Organisation of Pension Supervisors as well as numerous other policy committees in the context of the IMF, Financial Stability Board, European Systemic Risk Board and ECB. He has a PhD in monetary economics and has published broadly on financial issues.

SUERF Policy Notes (SPNs)				
No 207	Surge in corporate insolvencies hitting German banks	by Jan Schildbach		
No 208	The world economy under Covid-19: Can emerging market economies keep the engine running?	by Kristel Buysse and Dennis Essers		
No 209	<u>Are equity markets currently overvalued? The role of</u> the time horizon in earnings expectations	by Roberto Blanco and Irene Roibás		
No 210	On the risk-adjusted performance of machine learning models in credit default prediction	by Andres Alonso and Jose Manuel Carbo		
No 211	Preparing for a higher inflation regime	by Elga Bartsch, Jean Boivin and Philipp Hildebrand		

SUERF

THE EUROPEAN MONEY AND FINANCE FORUM

SUERF is a network association of central bankers and regulators, academics, and practitioners in the financial sector. The focus of the association is on the analysis, discussion and understanding of financial markets and institutions, the monetary economy, the conduct of regulation, supervision and monetary policy. SUERF's events and publications provide a unique European network for the analysis and discussion of these and related issues. SUERF Policy Notes focus on current financial, monetary or economic issues, designed for policy makers and financial practitioners, authored by renowned experts.

The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the institution(s) the author(s) is/are affiliated with.

All rights reserved.

Editorial Board: Natacha Valla, Chair Ernest Gnan Frank Lierman David T. Llewellyn Donato Masciandaro

SUERF Secretariat c/o OeNB Otto-Wagner-Platz 3 A-1090 Vienna, Austria Phone: +43-1-40420-7206 www.suerf.org • suerf@oenb.at