

Libra 2.0 – What does it mean for monetary policy and financial stability?

SUERF Webinar



Katrin AssenmacherMonetary Policy Strategy Division

Disclaimer

The views expressed here are those of the presenter and do not necessarily reflect those of the ECB.

Introduction

Adoption of **crypto assets** has raised **concerns** related to money laundering, illicit financing as well as consumer and investor protection.

Facebook's Libra initiative has sparked reactions among regulators and policy makers to better understand the implications of privately issued stablecoins.

Extent of adoption is key for implications related to **monetary policy** though financial stability issues can arise already with more limited use.

Overview

- 1 Global stablecoins and Facebook's Libra initiative
- 2 Potential implications for financial stability and monetary policy



Global stablecoins

A global **stablecoin** is digital asset that features a mechanism to **reduce price volatility** and with **global reach**.

Issued on a distributed ledger (blockchain).

Value of stablecoin is collateralised by funds that back up the issuer's commitment to redeem them.

Existing stablecoins have **limited links** to traditional financial system as they are mainly used to move between and **store revenue** from **crypto-asset investments**.

The Libra proposal of June 2019

Libra announced as a global stablecoin and financial infrastructure:

- Built on **blockchain** technology;
- Backed by a **reserve** of stable and liquid assets, denominated in US dollars, euro, yen, the British pound and the Singapore dollar;
- Governed by the independent Libra Association;
- Convertible into a local currency based on an exchange rate.

G7 report on global stablecoins in October 2019

Need for innovation to support more competitive markets, financial inclusion and improved cross-border payments.

Potential adverse effects on transmission of monetary policy, financial stability and the international monetary system.

Same activities and same risks should face same regulation.

Public authorities will continue efforts to improve payment

Central banks will assess relevance of issuing central bank digital currency (CBDC).

systems.

Regulatory considerations

A stablecoin arrangement could fall under a number of **different regulatory frameworks** or, potentially, none of them.

Payment functions fall under the Eurosystem's oversight framework if located in euro area or systemically relevant for euro payments.

The **asset management function** could qualify as issuer of **e-money**, **investment fund** or **bank**.

- Redeemable at par, claim on issuer, no credit => e-money.
- Risky financial assets, claim on share of issuer's assets => investment fund.
- Redeemable at par, provision of credit => bank.

Stablecoin arrangement outside euro area without claim on issuer or reserve assets and not systemically relevant => none of above.

Libra 2.0 – What has changed?

Updated Libra Whitepaper of April 2020:

Adding **single-currency** stablecoins,

Stronger protection for reserve (e.g. capital buffer),

Permissioned blockchain system only,

Enhance **compliance framework** for prevention of illicit activity.

Potential future touchpoints with central banks:

Place Libra reserves in central bank accounts.

Integrate central bank digital currencies (CBDC).

Concerns related to public policies persist.



Potential implications for financial stability and monetary policy

Scenarios for potential take-up

Some **benchmark** figures:

286 million PayPal users hold €153 billion (€64 per capita).

588 million **Yu'E Bao** users (part of Alibaba Group) hold €135 billion (€231 per capita, market exchange rates).

2.4 billion Facebook users, of which 240 million (10%) located in euro area.

Euro share in Libra reserve exceeds share of euro area Facebook users, pointing to **potential capital inflows**.

Up to 30% of euro area safe short-term government bonds in reserve.

Moderate impact on euro area bank deposits.

Outflow of household deposits into Libra of less than 3%.

Bank deposits managed by Libra reserve about 0.5%.

(Source: ECB Macroprudential Bulletin May 2020)

Financial stability implications

A stablecoin arrangement is exposed to banks' credit and liquidity risks and to credit, liquidity and market risks of the government bonds held.

Risks depend on whether the value of the coin is fixed.

Users may misperceive risks of floating value.

Fixed value creates solvency risk for the issuer.

Large redemption requests could trigger sell-off of reserve assets with ensuing contagion effects.

Single-currency stablecoins **not legally separated** with potential spill-overs of losses **across the reserves**.

Implications for policy interest rates

Zero remuneration of Libra could harden the **effective lower bound** on policy rates.

Effect may be **stronger** for **single-currency** version of **Libra**. Low remuneration of reserve would **challenge** Libra's **business model**.

Libra reserve could contribute to safe asset scarcity.

Lowering the **risk-free** yield curve.

Increasing money market fragmentation

Affecting **collateral quality** and **valuation** among different member states.

Implications for monetary policy transmission

Stability and cost of bank deposit funding could be affected.

Shift of deposits into Libra.

Partial reinvestment into bank deposits through the Libra reserve.

If Libra backed by **central bank reserves** a larger outflow of deposits without reinvestments could result.

Bank disintermediation could have consequences for lending rates and credit provision.

Use of Libra in payments could affect banks' income from fees.

Other effects with implications for monetary policy

Libra-induced capital flows could affect the exchange rate.

- Multi-currency version of Libra has higher euro share in reserve than potential users in euro area.
- Effects of single-currency version depends on take-up abroad.

If **prices** were to be **quoted in** multi-currency **Libra**, the **unit-of-account function** may become **impaired** with consequences for monetary policy transmission.

Banks' demand for central bank reserves could decrease, with potential impact on operation of a corridor system for short-term rates.

Conclusions

Libra can fill **gaps in cross-border payments** that fail to satisfy user demands in terms of convenience, cost, access and speed.

Global **stablecoins** such as Libra could **interfere with** monetary policy **transmission** via various channels.

Concerns related to monetary policy and financial stability are not alleviated by the updated Libra proposal.

Under plausible scenarios for adoption, **monetary policy impact** would be **contained** though many unknowns remain.

Facebook's **Libra** initiative has acted as a catalyst to advance work on **central bank digital currency** (CBDC).

Thank you