The main pillars of survey design for policy

**Ability to fill datagaps in a timely manner**
- Provide timely and frequent information accompanied by micro data
- Provide information on unobservables (shadow information) that determine the aggregates
- Provide information on all actors

**Moderns surveys should be agile**
- Questionnaire design responds to policy relevant events

**Use of modern techniques**
- Use of randomised control trials (RCTs) based on information treatments
- Use of discontinuities (time, specific rules) to get causal effects

**Ability to Inform policy on structural issues**
- Combining micro and macro.
How surveys complement the aggregates

Motives behind change in saving during COVID
(percentage of respondents)

Source: Dossche et al 2022, ECB (CES).
Notes: Weighted data. Chart shows the share of respondents reporting that (one or more) specific reasons were the most important for their saving behaviour.

Change in saving and government support
(percentage of respondents)

Source: Dossche et al 2022, ECB (CES).
Notes: Weighted data. Secondary axis shows share of respondents receiving government support.
Changes in net demand for loans or credit lines
(net percentage changes)

Availability of bank loans
(annual percentage changes)

Source: Bank Lending Survey (BLS).

Source: ECB (SAFE).
Note: Sample restricted to enterprises for which the instrument in question is relevant.
Information from multiple surveys: Inflation

Inflation expectations
(annual percentage changes)

Source: ECB (CES).
Note: Solid/dashed lines represent the median/mean.
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How surveys can be agile

Perceived adequacy of government interventions and energy exposure
(adequacy scale of 0 to 10; spending on utilities over total income)

Sources: Niccolò Battistini et al. 2022, Consumer Expectations Survey.
Notes: Vertical axis indicates the average score given by households to government measures aimed at reducing the impact that rising energy prices. Households in Germany, France, Italy, Spain, the Netherlands and Belgium are grouped together in 11 equally sized bins based on their spending on utilities as a percentage of total income.
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Examples of use of randomized control trials (RCTs) and Regressions Discontinuity Designs (RDDs)

ECB credibility gains and information treatment

(Gains in percentage points)

Source: Ehrmann, Georgarakos and Kenny (2022) and ECB CES
Notes: Information treatments relative to the control group on the credibility assigned to the ECB. All the coefficient estimates referring to credibility measured in September 2021 are statistically significant at the 1% level. Estimates referring to credibility measured in December 2021 and March 2022 are shown in dark orange/red if they are statistically significant at least at the 10% level, and in light orange/red otherwise.

Firms expecting deterioration in availability of external finance

Source: Ferrando-Ganoulis (2020) based on ECB/EC SAFE
Notes: Dummies of a weighted least-squares logistic regression controlling for time and country fixed effects. The weights take into account the number of firms covered in each country/day. The last part of the sample covers few countries as the interviews in most countries were concluded by 3 April.
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Portfolio composition of the bottom 50% (Trillions of Euro)

Source: Distribution Wealth Accounts (DWA) and HFCS data
Notes: Portfolio composition of an average person, belonging to bottom 50% of net wealth, in the euro area.

Portfolio composition of the top 10% (Trillions of Euro)

Source: Distribution Wealth Accounts (DWA) and HFCS data
Notes: Portfolio composition of an average person, belonging to Top 10% of net wealth, in the euro area.
The Future of Surveys

- Surveys should be understood not only as a data collection tool but a process that creates the data.

- New tools allow create opportunities in the survey design but come with challenges
  - Online surveys allow for flexibility and unmatched timeliness - However they face challenges with sample selection and recruitment
  - They allow the survey designer to conduct controlled experiments – However they face constrains due to increased complexity.

- There will always be a need for surveys even in the presence of big administrative data
  - They can elicit data on shadow questions (e.g. beliefs, perceptions and the process behind an observed outcome) that administrative data are not able to collect.
  - They allow for unmatched timeliness which is crucial in policy decisions.
Challenges and trade-offs

- Survey design and implementation for policy faces trade-offs

- **Method of collection** (interviewer vs online), **representativity and large sample sizes** against **timeliness, frequency and flexible questionnaire**

- **Different designs for different needs** that can complement each other.
Background
## Surveys at the ECB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target population</th>
<th>Corporate Telephone Survey CTS</th>
<th>Survey on Access to Finance of Enterprises SAFE</th>
<th>Bank Lending Survey BLS</th>
<th>Survey of Monetary Analysts SMA</th>
<th>Survey of Professional Forecasters SPF</th>
<th>Household Finance and Consumption Survey HFCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CES</strong></td>
<td>Large NFCs</td>
<td>NFCs (SMEs, large as benchmark)</td>
<td>Banks</td>
<td>Financial institutions from the ECB Market Contact Groups</td>
<td>Professional macroeconomic forecasters</td>
<td>Households / individuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CTS</strong></td>
<td>Euro area</td>
<td>Spring: euro area (12 biggest) Autumn: EU + 9 non-EU countries</td>
<td>Euro area</td>
<td>Euro area and the UK</td>
<td>EU, UK and Switzerland (with EU presence)</td>
<td>Euro area + HR, CZ, HU, PL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SAFE</strong></td>
<td>Combination of panel and probabilistic quota sampling</td>
<td>50-70 interviews each round from an existing panel of 150 firms</td>
<td>12,000-17,000 interviews</td>
<td>Selection based on representation of bank lending markets and lending categories done by NCBs</td>
<td>Participants of the ECB Market Contact Groups</td>
<td><strong>HFCS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BLS</strong></td>
<td>14,000 individuals (to be increased to 19,000)</td>
<td>12,000-17,000 interviews</td>
<td>about 150 banks</td>
<td>29 financial institutions</td>
<td>60 respondents each round from an existing panel of 80</td>
<td>Probabilistic sample design in all countries, some with panel. Around 90,000 households</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SMA</strong></td>
<td>Combination of panel and probabilistic quota sampling</td>
<td>50-70 interviews each round from an existing panel of 150 firms</td>
<td>12,000-17,000 interviews</td>
<td>Selection based on representation of bank lending markets and lending categories done by NCBs</td>
<td>Participants of the ECB Market Contact Groups</td>
<td><strong>HFCS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPF</strong></td>
<td>Combination of panel and probabilistic quota sampling</td>
<td>50-70 interviews each round from an existing panel of 150 firms</td>
<td>12,000-17,000 interviews</td>
<td>Selection based on representation of bank lending markets and lending categories done by NCBs</td>
<td>Participants of the ECB Market Contact Groups</td>
<td><strong>HFCS</strong></td>
</tr>
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<td>Combination of panel and probabilistic quota sampling</td>
<td>50-70 interviews each round from an existing panel of 150 firms</td>
<td>12,000-17,000 interviews</td>
<td>Selection based on representation of bank lending markets and lending categories done by NCBs</td>
<td>Participants of the ECB Market Contact Groups</td>
<td><strong>HFCS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BLS</strong></td>
<td>Combination of panel and probabilistic quota sampling</td>
<td>50-70 interviews each round from an existing panel of 150 firms</td>
<td>12,000-17,000 interviews</td>
<td>Selection based on representation of bank lending markets and lending categories done by NCBs</td>
<td>Participants of the ECB Market Contact Groups</td>
<td><strong>HFCS</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Frequency**: Monthly (+ quarterly and annual modules) Quarterly Every 6 months Quarterly Six-week cycle of the GovC MP meetings Quarterly Every 3 years
- **Time series**: Since Jan 2020 Since 2007Q4 Since 2009H1 Since 2003Q1 Since Apr 2019 Since 1999Q1 Since 2010
- **Institutions**: ECB ECB ECB /European Commission ECB and NCBs ECB ECB ECB /NCBs /NSIs
- **Geographical coverage**: DE, ES, FR, IT, BE, NL, plus AT, FI, GR, IE, PT since Jan 2022 Euro area Spring: euro area (12 biggest) Autumn: EU + 9 non-EU countries Euro area Euro area and the UK EU, UK and Switzerland (with EU presence) Euro area + HR, CZ, HU, PL
- **Sampling and sample size**: Combination of probabilistic and non-probabilistic samples 14,000 individuals (to be increased to 19,000) 50-70 interviews each round from an existing panel of 150 firms 12,000-17,000 interviews 12,000-17,000 interviews 12,000-17,000 interviews 60 respondents each round from an existing panel of 80
- **Data collection**: Online, telephone for recruitment in probabilistic sample Telephone Telephone ~ 85% Online ~ 15% Excel questionnaire collected by email Excel questionnaire collected by email Excel questionnaire collected by email Face-to-face / telephone / online/ administrative data
- **Institutions**: ECB ECB ECB /European Commission ECB and NCBs ECB ECB ECB /NCBs /NSIs

---

**Notes**

- **Target population**: Individuals 18+
- **Geographical coverage**: Euro area
- **Sampling and sample size**: Combination of panel and probabilistic quota sampling
- **Frequency**: Quarterly
- **Data collection**: Telephone
- **Institutions**: ECB