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Can the Euro challenge the USD’s leading global role? Or rather the renminbi?

1. Why internationalize? Or be a reserve currency?
   • A framing, with the pros and cons

2. The use of dollar vs euro
   • State of affairs: dollar zone, trade, financial transactions

3. Possible effects of rise in the euro
   • Implications for the euro and global aspects
1. Why internationalize? Or be a reserve currency?

Stepping Back: An Analytical Framing

- “Definitions:” **Internationalization**: use as unit/medium of account, transactions, invoice
  - **Reserve currency**: (large scale) store of value
- Three questions (review the thinking to date)
  i. Can euro internationalize more, be more a reserve currency?
  ii. Should euro internationalize more? Costs vs benefits
  iii. How to internationalize more? What is the (best) path?
- If yes to i and ii, then iii
  - What matters more? What now? What can wait? How to balance? What else to do?
- P.S. Maybe/arguably these are not goals, but side-effects. So moot question?
i. Can euro (or renminbi) internationalize (more), be a larger reserve currency?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Angeloni et al, ECB, Cœuré, Eichengreen, Kawai, Goldberg, Frankel, Prasad, etc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size, economic strength, share of world economy, trade, etc.</td>
<td>✔✔✔✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability of rules</td>
<td>✔✔✔✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong financial markets</td>
<td>✔✔✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality and predictability of fiscal and monetary policies</td>
<td>✔✔✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to respond to unexpected shocks</td>
<td>✔✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political cohesion</td>
<td>✔✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network externalities persistence, hysteresis</td>
<td>✔✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountains, island, army, geopolitics...</td>
<td>✔✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
i. Can euro (or renminbi) internationalize (more), be a larger reserve currency?

Most say yes. But some caution

- Most say both “goals” are feasible, but take time, efforts (and luck)
  - Frankel (2011); Eichengreen (2015); Eichengreen and Kawai (2015); Eichengreen, Mehl and Chițu (2017, 2019): various steps

- Key to **Internationalization** is policy coherence
  - Euro has advantages, but could (again) see some challenges

- Key to **Reserve** is (in addition) stability of domestic markets, esp. government bonds
  - Are euro’s government bond markets large, unified enough?
  - Have various governance reforms been (sufficiently) completed?
    - Banking union, fiscal union, financial integration?
ii. Should euro (or renminbi) internationalize? Gains and risks, for whom?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gains</th>
<th>Risks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Benefits for local financial markets’ development and monetary policy</td>
<td>• More challenges managing internal and external balances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• More market discipline</td>
<td>• Spillovers, more importing of global financial cycles, FCIs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Better scope for diversification, insulation from shocks, less valuation effects</td>
<td>• Financial instability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Increased spillbacks in trade</td>
<td>• Greater international responsibilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lower cost of funding (debt)</td>
<td>• Hard to reverse policies (easier to lose than to gain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Seignorage”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Global influence”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Invoicing in euros does not lower volatility (exchange rate is a partial hedge)

Volatility of Brent oil price

Ratio of volatilities in EUR and USD

Sources: Datastream; BIS calculations.
ii. Should euro (or renminbi) be a large reserve currency? Yes, likely. Although given costs, some have said “no”. So maybe...

- Reserve currency comes with benefits, but also some “costs”
  - Demand volatility due to “safe haven,” as in GFC
  - Demand and need to internalize monetary policy spillovers
  - Responsibilities
    - Extend/maintain swap lines/ILoLR. Ship cash. Have repos, settlement, etc. Help countries with eurorization, etc.
- Japan, Germany at some times were reluctant to “reservize”
  - Frankel (2011): “costs outweigh benefits”
  - Also optimal timing can be “elusive”
    - Inflation, exchange rate dynamics, financial stability
      - Eg euro lost influence in int. dimension after its stress
      - But so has the US dollar since GFC
iii. How to internationalize? Evidence suggests caution

- Evidence on what to do is limited (and “every country is unique”)
  - Experience suggests it can be very complex, more art than science
  - Overall consistent approach, starting with domestic financial market development, not just size. But also political economy

- Need to consider various strategic and technical dimensions
  - Integrated approach: sequencing, consistency (eg BU, FU, i.e., again “politics”)
  - Adapt monetary, financial policy (eg to account for less pass-through with LCP, to deal with more FCI spillovers)
  - Keep/adapt macroprudential, financial stability policies (cannot reverse)
2. The use of dollar vs euro. Dollar zone is more than half the global economy.

Source: BIS calculation based on average elasticities of the national currency’s dollar exchange rate with respect to euro/dollar and yen/dollar rates for 2011–14, inclusive.
The euro’s role as an international funding currency has seen ups and downs

Sources: IMF, World Economic Outlook; Dealogic; Euroclear; Refinitiv; Xtrakter Ltd; BIS locational banking statistics and global liquidity indicators; BIS calculations.
Dollar still large, esp. for EMEs, although share of bank loans lower

Dollar denominated credit to non-banks outside the United States

Sources: Datastream; Dealogic; Euroclear; Refinitiv; Xtrakter Ltd; national data; BIS locational banking statistics (LBS); BIS calculations.
Euro and dollar exert symmetric effects, risk premiums move in close tandem

Spillovers of euro area yields to the United States
Percentage of error variance

Ten-year nominal yield decomposition

Sources: Bloomberg; national data; BIS calculations.
3. Possible effects of rise in the euro

- Multiple reserve currencies could affect global financial stability
  - Would rise of euro make global system more or less stable?
    - Yes, as a means for easier/better global risk-sharing
    - But maybe also greater risk of tipping, booms/busts, due to market failures, externalities, bubbles

- Policy implications, both for euro and rest of the world
  - Need to do more ex-ante, on managing the risks of stocks
    - Better GFSN, more swaps (but effective?). Better infrastructure
  - For some countries
    - Full financial integration w/ BU, to avoid more “trilemmas”
    - Interim “smart” MAP/CFM, as ring-fencing not desirable/feasible
Gross capital flows matter more than current account balances

Gross capital flows

Current account

Sources: IMF, World Economic Outlook; BIS calculations.