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This policy brief studies the impacts of a reduction of capital the banks are required to hold when granting loans to Small and Medium Enterprises ("SMEs" hereafter). Starting in 2014 with the implementation of the European Commission Capital Requirements Directive, banks operating in the Euro area have benefited from a 25% reduction (the Supporting Factor or "SF" hereafter) in their own funds requirements against SME loans. This capital relief supported SME financing and was consistent with SME credit risk. Economic capital computations based on multifactor risk models confirm that capital requirements should be lower for SMEs. Taking into account the uncertainty surrounding the estimates and adopting a conservative approach, the SF is consistent with the estimated difference in economic capital between SMEs and large corporates. As for the impact on credit distribution, the changes in credit exposures benefiting from the SF over time, before and after the implementation of the SF relative to other types of exposures, show a positive and significant impact of the SF on the credit supply.

1 Michel Dietsch, Université de Strasbourg – Henri Fraisse, French Prudential Supervision and Resolution Authority, Banque de France – Mathias Lé, Banque de France – Sandrine Lecarpentier, French Prudential Supervision and Resolution Authority, Banque de France.
Small and medium-sized enterprises ("SME" hereafter) are a key driver of growth in Europe. They depend heavily on bank credit for external financing and are more likely to face financing constraints than other firms are. In a context of tighter banking regulation and increased capital requirements ("CR" hereafter) for banks, banks' lending decisions have also become more sensitive to the regulatory framework. Thus, in 2014, the transposition of the Basel III rules into EU law introduced a 25% reduction in CR for SME exposures, referred to as the Supporting Factor ("SF" hereafter). This new regulatory tool assumes that such a reduction in CR should stimulate the supply of credit to these firms. Nevertheless, a necessary condition for the SF to be effective is that regulatory CR should be consistent with the underlying credit risk of SMEs.

A way to assess the intrinsic risk of SMEs is to compute banks economic capital using a structural credit framework taking into account the size of the firms. To set up banks capital requirements, the Basel Committee used the standard Asymptotic Single Risk Factor (ASRF) model (Gordy, 2003). In this framework, the computation of regulatory CRs requires using two parameters that capture firm's individual risk - the probability of default (PD) and the loss given default (LGD) - and a third parameter – the asset correlation (R) – that measures the sensitivity of firms to a common single systematic risk factor. However, instead of leaving banks to compute this risk parameter using internal information, the Basel II/III regulation provides banks with the formulas to compute R. Therefore, banks determine their regulatory CRs through a model calibrated under regulatory constraints set up to deal with potential data availability issues.

We amend this model along two dimensions. First, we do not have recourse to the regulatory ad hoc calibrated formulas but let the data speak in order to measure the sensitivity of firms to systematic risk factors. Second, we expand the regulatory ASRF model by using a multifactor model where firm size acts as a systematic risk factor: firms of different size are now sensitive to a size-specific systematic risk factor. Our analysis shows that the economic capital ratio associated to SMEs is about six times lower than the one associated to large corporates. By contrast, the regulatory capital ratio associated to SME is similar to the one associated to large corporates. Also, the largest companies are the most exposed to systematic risk, i.e. to general economic conditions, even if their default rates are low. SME exposures allow banks' portfolio benefiting from a diversification effect: while the medium and large enterprise classes are highly correlated with each other, the correlations are negative or very low between the small and medium/large enterprise classes. The SF makes the regulatory CR more consistent with this diversification effect by reducing the capital charge on SME loans. There is obviously some model uncertainty in economic CRs measurement. Taking into account uncertainty surrounding the estimates of the multifactor models and adopting a conservative approach, the SF is shown to be consistent with the difference in economic capital between SMEs and large corporates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size Class</th>
<th>Economic CRs (1)</th>
<th>Regulatory CRs (2)</th>
<th>Regulatory CRs with SF (3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[0.75 – 1.5]</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[1.5 – 7.5]</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[7.5 – 15]</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15 – 50]</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[&gt; 50]</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This table shows the value of capital ratios when using the multifactor model (economic capital) and the regulatory Basel III model taking into account the supporting factor. Source: Banque de France, French national Credit Register and authors' calculations.
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To assess the effectiveness of the Supporting Factor regarding the provision of credit to SMEs, we rely on a difference-in-differences framework. We exploit a SF eligibility condition to define a treatment group composed of eligible exposures of SME bank pairs with total outstanding amounts below EUR 1.5 million and a control group composed of (ineligible) exposures of other SME-bank pairs. Our results show that eligible exposures increased by 5% to 10% on average compared to ineligible exposures after the implementation of the SF (compared to before the reform). We also find that the magnitude of the SF effect increased over time to reach a magnitude of 8% to 10% two years after its implementation. Regarding the heterogeneity of the impact, the effect of the SF appears to be much larger on eligible exposures of small firms and, in particular, micro firms, as well as on exposures of SMEs without credit ratings.

**Figure 1:** The effect of the SME-SF on credit supply over time

*Note:* This figure shows the estimates associated to the difference-in-differences specification. This specification assesses the effect of the SF on credit supply to SMEs quarter after quarter. The blue dots refer to the point estimates associated with the difference in credit distribution between eligible SMEs and ineligible SMEs within each quarter. The red bars indicate the 95% confidence intervals associated with these point estimates. The vertical line indicates the implementation of the SF reform, in January 2014. The underlying econometric specification controls for size, rating, department and industry classes, as well as year-quarter FEs and it includes bank, bank-time and firm FEs. *Source:* Banque de France, authors’ calculations. Sample: Independent SMEs that borrow from one of the 7 main French banking groups over the period 2010-2016.

Our findings provide some interesting policy implications. Almost twelve years after the Great financial crisis, banks come once again under scrutiny. The ability of the banking system to absorb these possibly very significant Covid-19 related losses depends largely on the consistency of bank capital requirements for credit risk. In particular, given the aggregate nature of the Covid-19 economic shock, it is of first order importance to better understand to which extent regulatory bank capital requirements have been adequately designed to deal with correlated defaults, especially in what concerns the market for loans to SME. Our findings demonstrate that regulatory capital requirements do not underestimate credit risk. The level of capital requirements computed using the multifactor framework is lower than the one of regulatory capital requirements computed by using the Basel formulas. However, our results suggest that the relative differences between the capital requirements for large corporates and those for SME are lower in the Basel III framework than implied by a more data driven multifactor model. They indicate a potential for increasing the capital relief for SME exposures, whatever the
form of this increase: by lowering the regulatory capital requirements for SME, for instance by lowering the asset correlation values in the IRB formula, or by lowering the risk weights directly. Our results help to explain the introduction of a “Supporting Factor” to adjust the Basel risk-based approach in 2014. Our results also show that this tool has had a significant impact on the credit supply to SMEs and that it could be used further in the future to support the provision of credit under a banking risk limit constraint.
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