Why is Swiss inflation low?
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Amid rising commodity prices, supply chain bottlenecks and tight labour markets, Swiss inflation remains low compared to most developed countries. Relative to the eurozone, the difference in electricity prices stands out. In Switzerland 99% of electricity is produced with renewable sources or low-carbon emission technologies, including nuclear power, and its price is little affected by fossil fuels prices. The energy crisis triggered by Russia’s invasion of Ukraine and its impact on inflation commands a policy response aimed at ensuring the safety, accessibility, and affordability of energy sources. For Switzerland, and even more so for the EU, it is imperative to improve the energy efficiency of the economy and to support the development of renewable and low CO2 emissions sources.
The median inflation rate across developed economies rose to 5.6% in February, a 5-percentage point increase from twelve months earlier. In absolute terms, inflation has returned to levels last seen several decades ago (see Chart 1). In the eurozone, inflation surged to 7.5% yoy in March. However, Switzerland is an exception; although higher than in the recent past, the inflation rate has risen only to 2.4% yoy in March.

Chart 1: Inflation rate in selected countries
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Sources: Refinitiv and EFG Bank calculations. Data as at 07 April 2022.

Chart 1 shows that since the mid-1970s inflation in Switzerland has been close to, but often slightly lower than in the US and Germany. The inflation gap in Switzerland’s favour has widened in recent years, following the eurozone debt crisis, and has surged in the past twelve months.

**The CPI baskets are different**

To understand why inflation is less affected in Switzerland than in other economies by shocks to commodity prices and supply chain bottlenecks, it is useful to consider the weight of the main expenditure items in the CPI basket.¹ These reflect the spending patterns of households in the different economies. Chart 2 shows that the main differences between Switzerland, the eurozone and the US concern the energy, food, housing excluding energy, and healthcare components.

Chart 2: Weights of main CPI components
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Sources: SECO, Eurostat, OECD, Refinitiv and EFG Bank calculations. Data as at 07 April 2022.

¹For the US, the OECD reclassification of expenditure items according to the methodology followed in Europe is used.
Charts 3 a and b show the twelve-month changes in the price indices of the main components of the CPI and the contributions to the inflation rate in February. In all three countries, energy prices have increased the most. In Switzerland, however, the rise was less pronounced than elsewhere and combined with the lower weight of energy in the CPI basket, the contribution to inflation is 2.5 percentage points (pp) less than in the eurozone and 0.8 percentage points less than the US. The change in food prices, which also impacts restaurant prices, was also smaller in Switzerland than elsewhere, reducing Swiss inflation by 1.1% pp compared to the eurozone and 1.3% pp compared to the US.

Overall, food and energy explain 95% of the inflation gap between Switzerland and the eurozone recorded in February, and preliminary data for March show that share remained above 90%, and about 40% of the gap with the US.²

²February is the last month for which detailed CPI data are available for the three economies. ³Spending on housing and transportation net of energy explains much of the remaining difference in inflation between Switzerland and the US, suggesting a much greater impact on US consumer prices of global supply chain bottlenecks.
A closer look at energy prices

The evidence shows that energy prices are fundamental in explaining the differences in inflation, especially between Switzerland and the eurozone. This is almost totally due to differences in the price of electricity. In February and March, the price of electricity in Switzerland rose by only 2.4% yoy while in the eurozone it surged by 34.3% yoy in February and has likely risen further in March. The gap was even wider for producer prices of electricity: in February, the wholesale price of electricity in Switzerland was 3.1% higher than a year before, while in January in the eurozone the increase was as much as 83.2% yoy.

This gap reflects the different technologies used to produce electricity. According to data from the International Energy Agency, less than 1% of the electricity consumed in Switzerland comes from oil and natural gas, while 58% originates from hydroelectric and 34% from nuclear power. By comparison, in the European Union (EU) over one fifth of the electricity supplied is produced with natural gas and over one eighth with coal.

The prices of these commodities, which the EU imports mostly from Russia, have surged over the past 12 months, pushing up electricity prices in the EU. The gap in energy producer prices (PPI) between Switzerland and the eurozone has widened to unprecedented levels (see Chart 4). This is important because a shock to wholesale energy prices affects the production costs of goods and services in all sectors of the economy.

To understand the consequences of the shock to energy PPI, a model can be used to show how prices of services and non-energy durable goods respond. The model shows that the cumulative transmission of a standard shock to energy PPI to the price of consumer services is statistically significant in both economies (see Appendix for impulse response charts). While in Switzerland the transmission of the shock is complete after three quarters, in the eurozone this happens only after eight quarters. Overall, the services CPI response in the eurozone is nearly three times larger than in Switzerland.

The prices of fuels for transportation and heating rose by about 30% yoy in both Switzerland and the eurozone. Both economies are almost fully dependent on imports for these goods, and local prices reflect developments in international commodity markets.

Two VAR models are estimated on energy PPI, and the CPI of services and non-energy durable consumer goods. The Swiss model is a VAR(1) and the eurozone model is a VAR(3). All variables are quarterly averages and the sample spans from 2005Q1 to 2021Q4. The correlation matrix of the model variables suggests that shocks to energy PPI come first, followed by that on services CPI and non-energy durable consumer goods CPI.
Furthermore, in Switzerland the impulse response of the durable goods CPI to a shock to energy PPI is not statistically significant. Instead, in the eurozone the impulse response is statistically significant up to about six quarters after the shock.

Between the spring of 2021 and the beginning of 2022, the energy PPI rose by about 15% in Switzerland and by almost 70% in the eurozone. In the absence of major changes in the energy PPI, the shock will increase future inflation in both economies up until the first part of 2023 (see Chart 5). Barring expensive interventions by eurozone governments to sterilize the effects of the energy price shock for households and businesses, the impact will be much stronger in the eurozone, and inflation will rise much more than in Switzerland.

**Conclusions and policy implications**

The low inflation in Switzerland compared to other industrialised economies is largely explained by differences in the CPI baskets. Relative to the eurozone, the difference in electricity prices stands out.

Swiss electricity prices are little affected by shocks to the prices of natural gas, coal and other fossil fuels which are still used to produce about 35% of the electricity consumed in the European Union. Conversely, in Switzerland 99% of electricity is produced with renewable sources or low-carbon emission technologies, including nuclear power.

Past increases in wholesale energy prices will push inflation higher in the coming quarters, but in Switzerland the effects will be contained and will not put undue pressure on the Swiss National Bank to normalise monetary policy regardless of the growth outlook.

The European Central Bank, instead runs the risk of facing an economic policy dilemma if inflation, already well above its 2% target, were to rise further or remain high for longer than currently expected. Indeed, the empirical analysis of the effects of changes in energy PPI on CPI inflation point to increased inflationary pressures in the next eighteen months.
Finally, the recent energy crisis triggered by Russia’s invasion of Ukraine and the sizeable impact this has on consumer prices requires a policy response aimed at ensuring the safety, accessibility, and affordability of energy sources. For Switzerland and even more so for the EU it is imperative to improve the energy efficiency of the economy and to support the development of both the most innovative renewable sources, including solar and wind power, and of those with low CO2 emissions.

Appendix: Impulse response to a standard shock to energy PPI
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Source: EFG Bank calculations. Data as at 07 April 2022.
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