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“Price stability is the state in which expected changes in the general price level do not effectively alter business or household decisions.”

-Alan Greenspan, July 1996 FOMC Meeting
A puzzle regarding inflation expectations

**Question:** Why do firms and households hold “aggregate inflation” expectations that appear disconnected from actual measured inflation and inflation expectations of professional forecasters?
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**Answer:** Wrong question.
Free Lunch: Central bankers make bad Jedis

People have no clue about inflation

Far from rational expectations

Wonkblog

People like puppies, and it’s a big problem for the economy
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**Question:** Is there a measure of “price growth” that is both relevant to firms and statistically connected to actual measured inflation?
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**Answer**: Yes - “price growth” associated with an aggregation of firm-specific unit cost growth.
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- A non-controversial viewpoint: Prices depend on costs.
- A non-trivial problem: How to approach asking firms about nominal marginal costs.
- In *Asking about Prices* (Blinder 1994): “This turned out to be a tricky question because the term marginal cost is not in the lexicon of most business people ... For the purposes of the survey, we translated “marginal cost” into “variable costs of producing additional units”.”
- At the formation of the survey in 2011, the Atlanta Fed engaged in many face-to-face interviews to better understand how c-suite execs would interpret variations around marginal costs and variable costs per unit. The outcome from these cognitive interviews was to ask about “unit costs”.
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- A monthly, online survey of roughly 500 corporate c-suite execs (CFOs, CEOs, etc) and owners in the Fed’s 6th District (Southeast US).
- The survey has been fielded continuously since October 2011.
- The survey asks probability question about firm “unit cost” expectations (in a manner similar to the New York Fed’s household survey).
The core of the BIE survey

“Projecting ahead, to the best of your ability, please assign a percent likelihood to the following changes to UNIT COSTS over the next twelve months. (Values should sum to 100%).”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit costs down (less than -1%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit costs about unchanged (-1% to 1%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit costs up somewhat (1.1% to 3%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit costs up significantly (3.1% to 5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit costs up very significantly (more than 5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- Sample has size and industry characteristics that are close to the true U.S. distribution of firms.
- Relatively high response rate: about 40%.
- No evidence of tenure effect.
- Firms’ expectations are closely related to their outcomes.
- Fit the bimodal asymmetric power normal (BAPN) distribution to all histograms to capture the bimodality.
We document 5 key findings:

1. In aggregate, firms’ unit cost realizations closely comove with U.S. inflation statistics.

2. Once aggregated, firms’ unit cost expectations move in step with those of professionals.

3. Up until the start of the pandemic inflation uncertainty was declining.

4. Policymakers’ forecasts do little to alter firms’ unit cost expectations.

5. At a firm level, unit costs are an important determinant of price setting behavior.
The BIE is capturing unique information from firms

Sectoral-level Realized Unit Cost Growth (year-over-year)

- Construction, mining, and utilities
- Educational services
- FIRE and business services
- Healthcare, social assistance, leisure, and hospitality
- Manufacturing
- Other services except government
- Retail and wholesale trade
- Transportation and warehousing
Movements in firms’ unit cost perceptions mirror changes in actual inflation.

Firms’ Realized Unit Cost Growth vs Actual Inflation (GDP Deflator)
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Firms’ unit cost expectations tend to move in step with those of professionals.
We document 5 key findings:

1. In aggregate, firms’ unit cost realizations closely comove with U.S. inflation statistics.
2. Once aggregated, firms’ unit cost expectations move in step with those of professionals.
3. Up until the start of the pandemic inflation uncertainty was declining.
4. Policymakers’ forecasts do little to alter firms’ unit cost expectations.
5. At a firm level, unit costs are an important determinant of price setting behavior.
Measures of Inflation Uncertainty
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Unit Cost Expectations and Uncertainty
We document 5 key findings:

1. In aggregate, firms’ unit cost realizations closely comove with U.S. inflation statistics.
2. Once aggregated, firms’ unit cost expectations move in step with those of professionals.
3. Up until the start of the pandemic inflation uncertainty was declining.
4. Using randomized controlled trials, we find that policymakers’ forecasts do little to alter firms’ unit cost expectations.
5. At a firm level, unit costs are an important determinant of price setting behavior.
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- **Short answer:** Firms (and potentially households) put very little weight on understanding/tracking official measures of aggregate inflation (GDP Price Index, CPI, PCE, etc) during normal times.
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- **Short answer:** Firms (and potentially households) put very little weight on understanding/tracking official measures of aggregate inflation (GDP Price Index, CPI, PCE, etc) during normal times.

- **Long(er) answer:** Due to the lack of relevance that firms place on aggregate inflation, eliciting inflation expectations requires a different lens. In the case of firms, that lens is unit costs (i.e. own-firm nominal marginal costs). By aggregating across firm-specific unit cost growth, we uncover a relevant proxy for their inflation expectations. Unit cost expectations are durable across all environments (high and low inflation), while in “normal times” when inflation is low, firm managers use this information for their pricing and business decisions.
Influence of CPI on Business Decisions

Unit Cost Expectations and Uncertainty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influence Level</th>
<th>Jan 2015</th>
<th>May 2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No influence</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate influence</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant influence</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>